

Gas Chromatography (GC) Data Auditing Check Sheet

Surveyor: _____

Method: _____

Laboratory: _____

Rev. 2, 8/05

| Hard Copy Data Review | Yes | No | Comments |
|---|-----|----|----------|
| <u>Proficiency Samples:</u> | | | |
| 1. Analysis date: | | | |
| 2. PE successful? | | | |
| <u>Calibration:</u> | | | |
| 1. Standard Information | | | |
| -Analysis date: | | | |
| -Analyst: | | | |
| -Instrument ID: | | | |
| -Software type: | | | |
| -File names: | | | |
| 2. Quantitation Report and Chromatogram Review | | | |
| -Does the lab have adequate hard copy data? | | | |
| -Are all standards run the same day/batch? (Check Acquired Times) | | | |
| -Is the method update time the same for each? | | | |
| -Is the chromatogram info the same as the quant. reports (i.e. same file names, acquisition times, method update times, <u>print time</u>)? | | | |
| -Is the chromatogram printed using a scale that is visible? | | | |
| -Do the standards have the proper sensitivity? | | | |
| -Do the standard peaks have acceptable separation? | | | |
| -No significant contamination? | | | |
| -Do the peak responses on the quant. reports match those of the calibration summary report (hand calculate a few-especially manual integrations)? | | | |

Gas Chromatography (GC) Data Auditing Check Sheet

Surveyor: _____

Method: _____

Laboratory: _____

Rev. 2, 8/05

| | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| -Do the calibration levels support the laboratory's reporting levels (check cal. level vs. final report of sample vs. MDLs)? | | | |
| -Were an adequate number of calibration standards used based on the calibration range and/or calibration model used? | | | |
| 3. Calibration Method Information | | | |
| -Calibration type (i.e. linear, RF, etc.): | | | |
| -Internal Std compounds? | | | |
| -Same for all compounds? | | | |
| -Was the calibration criteria met for each compound (i.e. RSDs)? | | | |
| -“force thru the origin”? | | | |
| -If calibrated by internal standards, were correct compounds used? Were all compounds calibrated against the appropriate internal standard? | | | |
| -Were data points eliminated from the calibration? | | | |
| -If yes, why?: | | | |
| -Was this done appropriately? | | | |
| -Was the calibration validated by a secondary source standard? | | | |
| <i>Attach photo copy documentation of any areas of concern</i> | | | |
| <u>Sample Information:</u> | | | |
| -Sample date/time (from COC): | | | |
| -Were the samples properly preserved? | | | |
| <u>Sample Preparation Procedures:</u> | | | |
| -Extraction method: | | | |
| -Extraction date/time: | | | |
| -Did the sample meet the extraction hold time? | | | |
| -Is the extraction documentation correct and complete? | | | |

Gas Chromatography (GC) Data Auditing Check Sheet

Surveyor: _____

Method: _____

Laboratory: _____

Rev. 2, 8/05

| | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| -Was the extraction acceptable (refer to check sheets or hand notes)? | | | |
| <i>Attach photo copy documentation of any areas of concern</i> | | | |
| <u>Sample Analysis:</u> | | | |
| -Sample ID: | | | |
| -Analysis date/time: | | | |
| -Was the sample hold time met? | | | |
| -Was the proper QC run with the sample batch? | | | |
| -Was the QC at the proper concentrations? | | | |
| -Was the appropriate QC criteria met? | | | |
| -Do all low level QC checks have adequate sensitivity? | | | |
| -Does the hard copy data correspond to the sequence report? | | | |
| -Are there any major breaks in the acquisition times? | | | |
| -Do all the samples/QC in the batch have the same method update time? | | | |
| -Do all chromatograms have corresponding information to the respective Quant Report (i.e. same file names, acquisition times, method update times, same RTs, <u>print time</u>)? | | | |
| -Are the response factors of the samples the same as from the calibration (calculate a few)? | | | |
| -Are the chromatograms printed using a scale that is visible? | | | |
| -Do all samples/QC in the batch have adequate peak separation, with an appropriate run time? | | | |
| -No significant contamination or matrix interference? | | | |
| -Are the peaks properly ID'd? | | | |
| -Confirmation techniques include analysis on a second column or by GC/MS. | | | |

Gas Chromatography (GC) Data Auditing Check Sheet

Surveyor: _____

Method: _____

Laboratory: _____

Rev. 2, 8/05

| | | | |
|---|------------|-----------|-----------------|
| -When confirmation is made on a second column, that analysis should meet all of the QC criteria for calibration, retention times, etc. | | | |
| -Are all the peaks integrations appropriate and consistent? | | | |
| -Do the analytical results on the Quant Report match those on the final report? | | | |
| -Were the correct compounds used for internal standards and/or surrogates? | | | |
| -Did the internal standards/surrogates meet the method or in-house QC criteria including retention times? | | | |
| <i>Attach photo copy documentation of any areas of concern</i> | | | |
| Laboratory Review | Yes | No | Comments |
| -Was the analyst(s) available for interviewing? | | | |
| -Did the analyst(s) provide adequate response to the concerns found from the hard copy data review? | | | |
| -Was the analyst(s) following proper procedure? -If no, see notes or check sheets. -If no, is SOP correct? -If no, is the QAP correct? | | | |
| -Did the lab have the proper equipment and instrumentation? | | | |
| -Did the lab have the proper reagents? Expiration dates current? | | | |
| -Did the lab have adequate documentation such as run logs, maintenance logs, temperature logs and standard logs? | | | |
| <u>Electronic Data Review:</u> | Yes | No | Comments |
| 1. Mint Miner Review (If Applicable) | | | |
| -Are any problems identified? | | | |
| <u>In-Lab Review:</u> | | | |
| 2. High and low standard | | | |

Gas Chromatography (GC) Data Auditing Check Sheet

Surveyor: _____

Method: _____

Laboratory: _____

Rev. 2, 8/05

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| -Does the low standard have acceptable sensitivity | | | |
| -Do all the compound peaks have adequate separation? | | | |
| -Do all the compound peaks have appropriate and consistent integration? | | | |
| 3. Initial CCV | | | |
| -Do all the peaks have adequate sensitivity? | | | |
| -Do all the peaks have adequate separation? | | | |
| -Do all the peaks have appropriate and consistent integration? | | | |
| -Can the laboratory reprint a Quant Report and chromatogram that matches the hard copy? | | | |
| -If yes, attach. | | | |
| -If no, why? | | | |
| 4. Other electronic data concerns (Identified in the hard copy review): | | | |
| <i>Attach photo copy documentation of any areas of concern</i> | | | |
| <u>Training:</u> -If significant problems are noted above, do the analyst's training files show that they were properly trained? | | | |